PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER	see Form PCT/ISA/220 as well as, where applicable, item 5 below.				
X16437	Action					
International application No.	national application No. International filing date (day/month/year) (Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/		(Earliest) Frionty Date (day/monthlyear)			
PCT/US2005/000025	PCT/US2005/000025 25/01/2005 30/01/2004					
Applicant						
ELI LILLY AND COMPANY						
This International Search Report has been according to Article 18. A copy is being tra			nority and is transmitted to the applicant			
This International Search Report consists	of a total ofs	neets.				
It is also accompanied by a copy of each prior art document cited in this report.						
	international search was carried or ess otherwise indicated under this		sis of the international application in the			
The international this Authority (Ru		s of a transla	ation of the international application furnished to			
b. With regard to any nucle e	otide and/or amino acid sequenc	e disclosed	in the international application, see Box No. I.			
2. Certain claims were fou	nd unsearchable (See Box II).					
3. Unity of invention is lac	king (see Box III).					
4. With regard to the title ,						
X the text is approved as su	bmitted by the applicant.					
the text has been establis	hed by this Authority to read as fol	lows:				
E With record to the chatrest						
5. With regard to the abstract , X the text is approved as su	ibmitted by the applicant					
the text is approved as submitted by the applicant. the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant						
			ch report, submit comments to this Authority.			
6. With regard to the drawings ,						
a. the figure of the drawings to be p	a. the figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No					
as suggested by	the applicant.					
as selected by th	is Authority, because the applicant	failed to sug	ggest a figure.			
	is Authority, because this figure be	tter characte	erizes the invention.			
b. none of the figures is to b	e published with the abstract.					

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No PCT/US2005/000025

A CLASSI	EICATION OF SUBJECT MATTED						
IPC 7	FICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER C07D471/04 A61K31/4188 A61P29/	/ 00					
According to	According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC						
	SEARCHED	ication and ir O					
	ocumentation searched (classification system followed by classification	ation symbols)					
IPC 7	C07D A61K A61P						
Documentat	ion searched other than minimum documentation to the extent tha	t such documents are included in the fields se	earched				
Electronic d	ata base consulted during the international search (name of data	base and, where practical, search terms used)				
EPO-In	ternal, WPI Data, PAJ, CHEM ABS Dat	ta					
	,						
0.000100	ENTO CONCIDENCE TO DE DEL EVANT						
Category °	ENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the i	relevant paceagos	Relevant to claim No.				
Calegory	Chatter of document, with indication, where appropriate, or the		ricievant to dain 140.				
Α	√WO 99/01130 A (SMITHKLINE BEECH/	A.M	1-10				
^	CORPORATION; ADAMS, JERRY, L; HA		1 10				
	F) 14 January 1999 (1999-01-14)	,					
	cited in the application	7 - 2					
	page 1, line 7 - page 1, line 9 examples 1-103	; claims;					
	·						
Α	√WO 01/72737 A (SMITHKLINE BEECH		1-10				
	CORPORATION; HARLING, JOHN, DAV	ID; GASTER,					
	LARAMIE,) 4 October 2001 (2001-	10-04)					
	cited in the application page 1, line 6	· claims·					
	examples 1-19						
Furt	Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C						
° Special ca	Special categories of cited documents:						
	'A' document defining the general state of the art which is not or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the						
considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier document but published on or after the international "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention							
· ·	filing date *L* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or *L* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or *Involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone						
which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the							
O' document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or document is combined with one or more other such document such combination being obvious to a person skilled							
"P" docum	*P* document published prior to the international filing date but						
later than the priority date claimed '&' document member of the same patent family							
Date of the actual completion of the international search Date of mailing of the international search report							
29 June 2005 06/07/2005							
Name and	mailing address of the ISA	Authorized officer					
European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL - 2280 HV Riswijk							
	Tel (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx 31 651 epo nl, Schmid Λ						
l .	Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016						

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No
PCT/US2005/000025

					<u> </u>
Patent document cited in search report		Publication date	Patent family member(s)		Publication date
WO 9901130	Α	14-01-1999	AT	290001 T	15-03-2005
			AU	8381298 A	25-01-1999
			AU	737637 B2	23-08-2001
			ΑU	8381598 A	25-01-1999
			BR	9810342 A	05-09-2000
			CA	2294522 A1	14-01-1999
			CA	2294524 A1	14-01-1999
			CN	1261277 A ,C	26-07-2000
			CZ	9904750 A3	12-12-2001
			DE	69818266 D1	23-10-2003
			DE	69818266 T2	15-07-2004
			ÐΕ	69829192 D1	07-04-2005
			EΡ	0996446 A1	03-05-2000
			EΡ	1014976 A1	05-07-2000
			ES	2207847 T3	01-06-2004
			HU	0002050 A2	28-04-2001
			JP	2002507992 T	12-03-2002
			JP	2002507994 T	12-03-2002
			NO	996572 A	30-12-1999
			NZ	500922 A	21-12-2001
			PL	337738 A1	28-08-2000
			TR	200000014 T2	21-11-2000
			TW	536539 B	11-06-2003
			WO	9901131 A1	14-01-1999
			WO	9901130 A1	14-01-1999
			US	6046208 A	04-04-2000
			US	2003114452 A1	19-06-2003
			US	6562832 B1	13-05-2003
			ZA	9805763 A	19-01-1999
WO 0172737	Α	04-10-2001	AT	296821 T	15-06-2005
			AU	4257901 A	08-10-2001
			EP	1268465 A1	02-01-2003
			WO	0172737 A1	04-10-2001
			JP	2003528870 T	30-09-2003
			US	2003149277 A1	07-08-2003



From the

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

To:				PCT			
see form PCT/ISA/220				WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY			
				(F	PCT Rule 43 <i>bis.</i> 1) 30 Nov 2005		
			X16437	Date of mailing (day/month/year) see	e form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)		
Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220				FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below			
	national application		International filing date (d	day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 30.01.2004		
1	national Patent Clas D471/04, A61K3		both national classification 29/00	and IPC			
Appli ELI	icant LILLY AND COI	MPANY					
1.	This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:						
	Box No. I	Basis of the op	pinion				
	☐ Box No. II	Priority					
	☐ Box No. III	Non-establishr	ment of opinion with rega	ard to novelty, inventiv	e step and industrial applicability		
	☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention						
	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement						
	☐ Box No. VI	Certain docum	ents cited				
	☐ Box No. VII		s in the international app				
	☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application						
2.	FURTHER ACT	ION					
If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.							
	If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.						
	For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.						
3.	For further detai	ls, see notes to	Form PCT/ISA/220.				
Nam	e and mailing addre	ess of the ISA:		Authorized Officer	schet Palantan,		

Schmid, A

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-8591

Form (PCT/ISA/237) (Cover Sheet) (January 2004)

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US2005/000025

	Box	No. I Basis of the opinion						
1.	. With regard to the language , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.							
	li	This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following anguage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).						
2.	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:							
	a. type of material:							
		a sequence listing						
		table(s) related to the sequence listing						
	b. format of material:							
		in written format						
		in computer readable form						
	c. time of filing/furnishing:							
		contained in the international application as filed.						
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.						
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.						
3.	h C	n addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional sopies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.						
4.	L. Additional comments:							

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US2005/000025

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43*bis*.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-10

No:

Claims

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

1-10

Claims No:

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-10

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

- 1) The present compounds differ from the closest prior art represented by
 - D1: WO 99/01130 A (SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION; ADAMS, JERRY, L; HALL, RALPH, F) 14 January 1999 (1999-01-14)
 - D2: WO 01/72737 A (SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION; HARLING, JOHN, DAVID; GASTER, LARAMIE,) 4 October 2001 (2001-10-04)

in the imidazo-[4,5b]pyridine residue which can be only pyridine or pyrimidine in D1 and D2, examples.

Accordingly the present subject-matter is novel pursuant to Article 33(2) PCT.

2) As could be proved by the applicant (cf. page 61, 63, 65 and 67), the present compounds exhibit an inhibition of the p38 kinase as well as for example a TNF-α suppression, effects which were not predictable by a skilled person looking for alternative p38 kinase inhibitors, since the structural differences are too big.

Therefore, the present subject-matter also involves an inventive step with regard to Article 33(3) PCT.